

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,926	MARUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

(2) Anne Vachon Dougherty.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8/23/06

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 4, 6, 9, 18, 20, and 29

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization from the Appellant was required to move dependent claim 4 as the Office objected on 03/17/2006, and authorization to fix 101 and 112 problems in all independent claims. Authorization for examiner's amendment dated on August 26 was given in a telephone interview with Anne Vachon Dougherty on August 24, 2006..